HUD Part 50/58 Environmental Review with HTF Environmental Provisions - 24 CFR § 93.301(f)(2) – Rehabilitation

(including rehabilitation of manufactured housing and existing housing that is acquired with HTF funds, and has <u>not</u> been newly constructed or rehabilitated less than 12 months before the commitment of HTF funds)

When combining HTF with other HUD funds, Part 50 and 58 compliance procedures must be used when they meet the HTF Environmental Provisions under 24 CFR § 93.301(f)(2). When Part 50 and 58 compliance procedures do not meet the HTF Environmental Provisions, Part 50 or 58 compliance procedures are not sufficient. When combining a Part 50/58 review and HTF Environmental Provisions, Environmental Justice must be analyzed as part of the environmental review.

Document compliance with the following Laws and Authorities in the Part 50 or Part 58 Environmental Review Record. Part 50 and 58 compliance with the following Laws and Authorities meet the HTF Environmental Provisions; therefore Part 50 or 58 compliance procedures must be followed-

- Coastal Barrier Resources
- Coastal Zone Management
- Sole Source Aquifers
- Endangered Species

- Wild and Scenic Rivers
- Explosives and Hazards
- Environmental Justice

Part 50 and 58 compliance with the following Laws and Authorities DOES NOT MEET the HTF Environmental Provisions under 24 CFR § 93.301(f)(2); therefore, Part 50 or 58 compliance procedures are not sufficient. More specific information on how to meet the HTF Environmental Provisions is provided in the section below.

- Historic Preservation
- Farmlands
- Airport Zones
- Floodplains

- Wetlands
- Contamination
- Noise
- Safe Drinking Water

Directions - PLEASE READ QUESTIONS CAREFULLY

☐ Project cannot be funded with HTF.

- 1) Select from the options under each Environmental Provision
- Provide the appropriate documentation in the project file

Historic Preservation

Consultation with interested parties such as the State Historic Preservation Officers, federally recognized Indian tribes/Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs); and Native Hawaiian Organizations.

→ Document the consultation process. Select one of the following: ☐ If the project is NOT listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places individually or as part of an historic district. http://www.nps.gov/nr/research/ → Document that the project is not listed or eligible to be listed on the National Register of Historic Places. ☐ The project is listed or eligible to be listed on the National Register of Historic Places, individually or as part of an historic district, and work DOES NOT include demolition and MEETS the Secretary of Interior's https://www.nps.gov/tps/standards/four-treatments/treatment-Rehabilitation. Standards for rehabilitation.htm → Document how the work meets the Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation. ☐ Project cannot be funded with HTF. Note: If archaeological resources or human remains are discovered on the project site during construction, consult with affected tribes and/or descendant communities and comply with the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (25 U.S.C. 3001–3013), State law and/or local ordinance (e.g., State unmarked burial law). → Document all consultation correspondence with the affected tribe and/or descendant communities, and how compliance with the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (25 U.S.C. 3001–3013), State law and/or local ordinance (e.g., State unmarked burial law) has been met. **Farmlands** Select one of the following: ☐ The project activity IS solely rehabilitation. → Document a summary of rehabilitation activities that are part of the project. ☐ The project will NOT convert unique, prime or significant (state or local) farmland to an urban use http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm or https://tigerweb.geo.census.gov/tigerweb/ A map from the Web Soil Survey showing that the project site is not a unique, prime or statewide or locally significant agricultural property, or a TigerWeb map showing the project is in an urban area.

	port Zones
	ect one of the following: The project is NOT located within a Runway Protection Zone (RPZ) of a civilian airport or the clear zone of Accident Potential Zone (APZ) of a military airfield. https://www.epa.gov/nepa/nepassist
	→ A map showing the site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or within 2,500 feet of a civilian airport.
	If within 15,000 feet of a military airport, a map showing the site is not within a designated APZ or a letter from the airpor operator stating so.
	If within 2,500 feet of a civilian airport, a map showing the site is not within a designated RPZ or a letter from the airpor operator stating so.
	Project cannot be funded with HTF.
Se	ect one of the following: The project is NOT located in the Floodway, Coastal High Hazard Area or 100-year or 500-year floodplain or the latest FEMA floodmap (including preliminary maps and Advisory Base Flood Elevations). https://msc.fema.gov/portal FEMA FIRM or other latest-available data from FEMA showing the project location is not within a floodplain.
	100-year floodplain - If the project site is within the 100-year floodplain, an 8-step Process including notifications is required. Any substantially improved structure located within the 100-year floodplain WILL BE elevated to at least the BFE or floodproofed to one foot above the BFE. Elevated and floodproofed buildings must adhere to Nationa Flood Insurance Program standards, and the project MUST NOT BE a Critical Action.
	→ Document the 8-step Process and required notifications. Provide the FIRM or latest-available FEMA data and, if the structure will be substantially improved, document that the structure will be elevated at least the BFE or floodproofed to one foot above the BFE, that elevated and floodproofed buildings adhere to National Flood Insurance Program standards, and that the project is not a Critical Action.
	Floodway – The project IS a functionally dependent use.
	→ Provide the FIRM or latest-available FEMA data and document that the structure is a functionally dependent use.
	<u>Coastal High Hazard Area</u> – The project IS designed for location in a coastal high hazard area consistent with the FEMA National Flood Insurance Program requirements for V Zones.

	→ Provide the FIRM or later FEMA data and document that the structure is designed for location in a coastal high hazard area consistent with the FEMA National Flood Insurance Program requirements for V Zones, and that the structure is not a Critical Action.
	500-year floodplain — The project is NOT a Critical Action.
	→ Provide the FIRM or latest-available FEMA data and document that the structure is not a Critical Action.
	Project cannot be funded with HTF.
We	<u>etlands</u>
Sel	lect one of the following:
	The project will NOT adversely impact a wetland. The project will NOT drain, dredge, channelize, fill, dike, impound, or perform grading activities in wetlands. http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/Mapper.html
	→ A map showing the project is not located in a jurisdictional or non-jurisdictional wetland.
	Project cannot be funded with HTF.
	ntamination
Sel	lect one of the following:
	The project is FREE of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect the health and safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property.
	AND
	The project IS NOT located within 0.25 miles of a Superfund or CERCLIS (Comprehensive Environmenta Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System) site or other contaminated site reported to Federal, State, or local authorities without a statement in writing from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or the appropriate State agency that there is no hazard that could affect the health and safety of the occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property.

Note: The CERCLIS Public Access Database has been retired. The EPA is transitioning to the Superfund Enterprise Management System, or SEMS. SEMS includes the same data fields and content as CERCLIS.

https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/site-contamination/

→ Document that the project is free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect the health and safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property. Document that the project is not located within 0.25 miles of a Superfund or CERCLIS site or other contaminated site reported to Federal, State, or local authorities without a statement in writing from the EPA or the appropriate State agency that there is no hazard that could affect the health and safety of the occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property.

Multifamily properties (more than 4 units) a Phase I ESA-ASTM is required. If the Phase I ESA identifies RECs, a Phase II ESA-

ASTM will be required. If the Phase II indicates the presence of hazardous substances or petroleum products above applicable local, state, tribal or federal (LSTF) screening levels, coordination with the relevant LSTF oversight agency will be required to complete the remediation process and obtain a determination that no further action is required. Development of more than four single family structures in the same location, such as subdivision development, should be evaluated as multifamily

Single family properties - projects must avoid sites located within 0.25 miles of a Superfund or CERCLIS site or other contaminated site reported to Federal, State, or local authorities without a statement in writing from the EPA or the appropriate State agency that there is no hazard that could affect the health and safety of the occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property. In addition to the government records search, the screening process for single family does include a site visit. When the screening process raises concerns related to site contamination, it may be appropriate to contract with an environmental professional for preparation of a Phase I, testing and sampling, or other investigation.

	Project cannot be funded with HTF.
<u>No</u>	<u>ise</u>
Sel	ect one of the following:
	The internal noise level will be 45 decibels or less (mitigation may be required). https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/environmental-review/noise-abatement-and-control/
	→ Document that interior noise levels will be no more than 45 dB.
	Project cannot be funded with HTF.
Saf	e Drinking Water
Sel	ect one of the following:
	The project CONTAINS lead-free pipes, solder, and flux. https://www.epa.gov/dwreginfo
	→ Document that the project only uses lead-free pipes, solder, and flux (architectural plans, building specifications, and certification by qualified professional).
	Project cannot be funded with HTF.

Coastal Barrier Resources (CEST and EA)

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation		
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act			
used for most activities in units of	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended			
the Coastal Barrier Resources	by the Coastal Barrier			
System (CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for	Improvement Act of 1990 (16			
limitations on federal expenditures	USC 3501)			
affecting the CBRS.				
References				
https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/coastal-barrier-resources				

Projects located in the following states must complete this form.

Alabama	Georgia	Massachusetts	New Jersey	Puerto Rico	Virgin Islands
Connecticut	Louisiana	Michigan	New York	Rhode Island	Virginia
Delaware	Maine	Minnesota	North Carolina	South Carolina	Wisconsin
Florida	Maryland	Mississippi	Ohio	Texas	

1. Is the project located in a CBRS Unit?

\square No \rightarrow	Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below
	Provide a map showing that the site is not within a CBRS Unit.

 \square Yes \rightarrow Continue to Question 2.

<u>Federal assistance for most activities may not be used at this location. You must either choose an alternate site or cancel the project.</u> In very rare cases, federal monies can be spent within CBRS units for certain exempted activities (e.g., a nature trail), after consultation with the Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) (see <u>16 USC 3505</u> for exceptions to limitations on expenditures).

2. Indicate your selected course of action.

☐ After consultation with the FWS the project was given approval to continue
→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below
Provide a map and documentation of a FWS approval.
Droject was not given approval

☐ Project was not given approval

Project cannot proceed at this location.

Worksheet Summary	
Compliance Determination	on

West Virginia does not have a Coastal Barrier Resource System.

A C I	 •			
Are tormai	compliance	STANS OF	mitigation	reallireaz
AIC IVIIIIAI	COLLIBIIALICE	31233 01	musalion	I CUUII CU:

	Yes
П	Nο

Coastal Zone Management Act (CEST and EA)

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation			
Federal assistance to applicant	Coastal Zone Management Act	15 CFR Part 930			
agencies for activities affecting any	(16 USC 1451-1464), particularly				
coastal use or resource is granted	section 307(c) and (d) (16 USC				
only when such activities are	1456(c) and (d))				
consistent with federally approved					
State Coastal Zone Management Act					
Plans.					
References					
https://www.onecpd.info/environmental-review/coastal-zone-management					

Projects located in the following states must complete this form.

Project cannot proceed at this location.

Alabama	Florida	Louisiana	Mississippi	Ohio	Texas
Alaska	Georgia	Maine	New Hampshire	Oregon	Virgin Islands
American Samona	Guam	Maryland	New Jersey	Pennsylvania	Virginia
California	Hawaii	Massachusetts	New York	Puerto Rico	Washington
Connecticut	Illinois	Michigan	North Carolina	Rhode Island	Wisconsin
Delaware	Indiana	Minnesota	Northern Mariana	South Carolina	
			Islands		

			Islanus		
1.	Is the proj Plan?	ject located in, or doe	es it affect, a Coastal Zone as define	ed in your state C	oastal Managemen
	□Yes →	Continue to Question 2.			
	□No→	- ·	he review is in compliance with this section nat the site is not within a Coastal Zone.	. Continue to the Wo	rksheet Summary below
2.	Does this	project include activit	ies that are subject to state review?		
	□Yes →	Continue to Question 3.			
	□No →	•	he review is in compliance with this section sed to make your determination.	a. Continue to the Wo	rksheet Summary below
3.	Has this p	roject been determine	ed to be consistent with the State Co	oastal Manageme	nt Program?
	\square Yes, wit	h mitigation. → <i>Continu</i>	e to Question 4.		
		_	red on the response, the review is in complian ation used to make your determination.	ce with this section. Co	ontinue to the Workshee
	□No. proi	iect must be canceled.			

4.	Explain in detail the proposed measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effectincluding the timeline for implementation.			
	Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide documentation of the consultation (including the State Coasta Management Program letter of consistency) and any other documentation used to make your determination.			
	orksheet Summary mpliance Determination			
V	Vest Virginia is not located on or near a Coastal Zone.			
Ar	e formal compliance steps or mitigation required?			

Sole Source Aquifers (CEST and EA)

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water Act of	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems which are	1974 (42 U.S.C. 201, 300f et	
the sole or principal drinking water source	seq., and 21 U.S.C. 349)	
for an area and which, if contaminated,		
would create a significant hazard to public		
health.		
	Reference	
https://www.hudexchange.info/environmen	tal-review/sole-source-aquifer	<u>'S</u>
 Does your project consist solely of acquisit 	tion, leasing, or rehabilitation	of an existing building(s)?
\square Yes $ ightarrow$ Based on the response, the review is in c	ompliance with this section. Continue	e to the Worksheet Summary below.

1	Does you	r project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing building(s)?
1.	=	Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.
	□No →	Continue to Question 2.
2.	Is the pro	eject located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)¹?
		Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area.
	□Yes →	Continue to Question 3.
	=	r region have a memorandum of understanding (MOU) or other working agreement with EPA for
		ects impacting a sole source aquifer?
	=	our Field or Regional Environmental Officer or visit the HUD webpage at the link above to determine J or agreement exists in your area.
	□Yes →	Provide the MOU or agreement as part of your supporting documentation. Continue to Question 4.
	□163 <i>/</i>	Provide the MOO of agreement as part of your supporting accumentation. Continue to Question 4.
	□No →	Continue to Question 5.
4.	Does you	r MOU or working agreement exclude your project from further review?
	□Yes →	Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below Provide documentation used to make your determination and document where your project fits within the MOU of agreement.
	□No →	Continue to Question 5.

¹ A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

	Consult wit your propo also want to working ag EPA may re	Will the proposed project contaminate the aquifer and create a significant hazard to public health? Consult with your Regional EPA Office. Your consultation request should include detailed information about your proposed project and its relationship to the aquifer and associated streamflow source area. EPA will also want to know about water, storm water and waste water at the proposed project. Follow your MOU or working agreement or contact your Regional EPA office for specific information you may need to provide. EPA may request additional information if impacts to the aquifer are questionable after this information is submitted for review.			
	□No →	Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide your correspondence with the EPA and all documents used to make your determination.			
	□Yes →	Work with EPA to develop mitigation measures. If mitigation measures are approved, attach correspondence with EPA and include the mitigation measures in your environmental review documents and project contracts. If EPA determines that the project continues to pose a significant risk to the aquifer, federal financial assistance must be denied. Continue to Question 6.			
	by the EPA	continue with the project, any threat must be mitigated, and all mitigation must be approved. Explain in detail the proposed measures that can be implemented to mitigate for the impact including the timeline for implementation.			
	→	Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide documentation of the consultation (including the Managing Agency's concurrence) and any other documentation used to make your determination.			
Со	•	mmary etermination r description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such			
as		,			
		anel numbers and dates			
		of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates			
		s of plans or reports and relevant page numbers			
	• Any ad	ditional requirements specific to your region			
Ar	e formal co	mpliance steps or mitigation required?			
	☐ Yes				
	□ No				

Endangered Species Act (CEST and EA)

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations		
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) mandates	The Endangered Species	50 CFR Part 402		
that federal agencies ensure that actions that they	Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C.			
authorize, fund, or carry out shall not jeopardize the	1531 et seq.); particularly			
continued existence of federally listed plants and	section 7 (16 USC 1536).			
animals or result in the adverse modification or				
destruction of designated critical habitat. Where their				
actions may affect resources protected by the ESA,				
agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife Service				
and/or the National Marine Fisheries Service ("FWS" and				
"NMFS" or "the Services").				
References				
https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/endangered-species				

References
https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/endangered-species
 Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect species or habitats? □No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.
→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documents used to make your determination.
□No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office. Explain your determination:
→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documents used to make your determination.
☐Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats. → Continue to Question 2.
2. Are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area? Obtain a list of protected species from the Services. This information is available on the <u>FWS Website</u> or you may contact your <u>local FWS</u> and/or <u>NMFS</u> offices directly.
☐No, the project will have No Effect due to the absence of federally listed species and designated critica habitat.

→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documents used to make your determination. Documentation may include letters from the Services, species lists from the Services' websites, surveys or other documents and analysis showing that there are no species in the action area.

 □No Effect: Based on the specifics of both the project and any federally listed species you have determined that the project will have absolutely no effect on listed species of the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Surany documents used to make your determination. Documentation should include a species list an conclusion, and may require maps, photographs, and surveys as appropriate. □May Affect, Not Likely to Adversely Affect: Any effects that the project may have on federor critical habitats would be beneficial, discountable, or insignificant. → Continue to Question 4, Informal Consultation. □Likely to Adversely Affect: The project may have negative effects on one or more listed habitat. → Continue to Question 5, Formal Consultation. 4. Informal Consultation is required Section 7 of ESA (16 USC. 1536) mandates consultation to resolve potential impacts to threatened species and critical habitats. If a HUD-assisted project may affect any federally or threatened species or critical habitat, then compliance is required with Section 7. Se Subpart B Consultation Procedures. Did the Service(s) concur with the finding that the project is Not Likely to Adversely Affectives, the Service(s) concurred with the finding. → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to Question 6 and prove (1) A biological evaluation or equivalent document (2) Concurrence(s) from FWS and/or NMFS (3) Any other documentation of informal consultation Exception: If finding was made based on procedures provided by a letter of understanding, memor programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office, provide whatever documentatic agreement. □No, the Service(s) did not concur with the finding. 	-	there are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area. Continue to Question 3.	
or critical habitats would be beneficial, discountable, or insignificant. → Continue to Question 4, Informal Consultation. □Likely to Adversely Affect: The project may have negative effects on one or more listed habitat. → Continue to Question 5, Formal Consultation. 4. Informal Consultation is required Section 7 of ESA (16 USC. 1536) mandates consultation to resolve potential impacts to threatened species and critical habitats. If a HUD-assisted project may affect any federally or threatened species or critical habitat, then compliance is required with Section 7. Se Subpart B Consultation Procedures. Did the Service(s) concur with the finding that the project is Not Likely to Adversely Affection Procedures with the finding. → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to Question 6 and prove (1) A biological evaluation or equivalent document (2) Concurrence(s) from FWS and/or NMFS (3) Any other documentation of informal consultation Exception: If finding was made based on procedures provided by a letter of understanding, memor programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office, provide whatever documentation agreement.	No Effe you ha → Bas any	effects, if any, will your project have on federally listed species or designated critical habitat? If fect: Based on the specifics of both the project and any federally listed species in the action are a have determined that the project will have absolutely no effect on listed species or critical habitat. It is assed on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Providing documents used to make your determination. Documentation should include a species list and explanation of your conclusion, and may require maps, photographs, and surveys as appropriate.	
 habitat. → Continue to Question 5, Formal Consultation. 4. Informal Consultation is required Section 7 of ESA (16 USC. 1536) mandates consultation to resolve potential impacts to threatened species and critical habitats. If a HUD-assisted project may affect any federally or threatened species or critical habitat, then compliance is required with Section 7. Se Subpart B Consultation Procedures. Did the Service(s) concur with the finding that the project is Not Likely to Adversely Affectives, the Service(s) concurred with the finding. → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to Question 6 and prov.	or crit	<u> </u>	
Section 7 of ESA (16 USC. 1536) mandates consultation to resolve potential impacts to threatened species and critical habitats. If a HUD-assisted project may affect any federally or threatened species or critical habitat, then compliance is required with Section 7. Se Subpart B Consultation Procedures. Did the Service(s) concur with the finding that the project is Not Likely to Adversely Affectives, the Service(s) concurred with the finding. → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to Question 6 and prove (1) A biological evaluation or equivalent document (2) Concurrence(s) from FWS and/or NMFS (3) Any other documentation of informal consultation Exception: If finding was made based on procedures provided by a letter of understanding, memor programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office, provide whatever documentation agreement. □No, the Service(s) did not concur with the finding.	habita		
 □Yes, the Service(s) concurred with the finding. → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to Question 6 and prove (1) A biological evaluation or equivalent document (2) Concurrence(s) from FWS and/or NMFS (3) Any other documentation of informal consultation Exception: If finding was made based on procedures provided by a letter of understanding, memory programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office, provide whatever documentation agreement. □No, the Service(s) did not concur with the finding. 	Section 7 of ESA (16 USC. 1536) mandates consultation to resolve potential impacts to endan threatened species and critical habitats. If a HUD-assisted project may affect any federally listed or threatened species or critical habitat, then compliance is required with Section 7. See 50 CF		
 → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to Question 6 and prove (1) A biological evaluation or equivalent document (2) Concurrence(s) from FWS and/or NMFS (3) Any other documentation of informal consultation Exception: If finding was made based on procedures provided by a letter of understanding, memory programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office, provide whatever documentation agreement. □No, the Service(s) did not concur with the finding. 	id the S	Service(s) concur with the finding that the project is Not Likely to Adversely Affect?	
programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office, provide whatever documentation agreement. No, the Service(s) did not concur with the finding.	→ Base (1) (2)	sed on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to Question 6 and provide the following: A biological evaluation or equivalent document Concurrence(s) from FWS and/or NMFS	
	pro	exception: If finding was made based on procedures provided by a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreemen Programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office, provide whatever documentation is mandated by the Egreement.	
7 Continue to Question 5.		he Service(s) did not concur with the finding. Continue to Question 5.	

5. Formal consultation is required

Section 7 of ESA (16 USC 1536) mandates consultation to resolve potential impacts to federally listed endangered and threatened species and critical habitats. If a HUD assisted project may affect any endangered or threatened species or critical habitat, then compliance is required with Section 7. See 50 CFR Part 402 Subpart B Consultation Procedures.

- → Once consultation is complete, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to Question 6 and provide the following:
 - (1) A biological assessment, evaluation, or equivalent document
 - (2) Biological opinion(s) issued by FWS and/or NMFS
 - (3) Any other documentation of formal consultation

	isures that will be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effec
cluding the timeline for implemer	itation.
lMitigation as follows will be imple	mented:
lNo mitigation is necessary.	
Explain why mitigation will not b	e made here:

6. For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated.

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

Are	forma	l compliance	steps or	mitigation	required?
-----	-------	--------------	----------	------------	-----------

☐ Yes

□ No

Wild and Scenic Rivers (CEST and EA)

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation			
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16	36 CFR Part 297			
provides federal protection for certain	U.S.C. 1271-1287), particularly				
free-flowing, wild, scenic and	section 7(b) and (c) (16 U.S.C.				
recreational rivers designated as	1278(b) and (c))				
components or potential components					
of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers					
System (NWSRS) from the effects of					
construction or development.					
References					
https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/wild-and-scenic-rivers					

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river as defined below?

Wild & Scenic Rivers: These rivers or river segments have been designated by Congress or by states (with the concurrence of the Secretary of the Interior) as wild, scenic, or recreational

<u>Study Rivers:</u> These rivers or river segments are being studied as a potential component of the Wild & Scenic River system.

<u>Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI):</u> The National Park Service has compiled and maintains the NRI, a register of river segments that potentially qualify as national wild, scenic, or recreational river areas

\rightarrow	Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide
	documentation used to make your determination, such as a map identifying the project site and its surrounding area or a li
	of rivers in your region in the Screen Summary at the conclusion of this screen.

2. Could the project do any of the following?

→ Continue to Ouestion 2.

 \square No

Have a direct and adverse effect within Wild and Scenic River Boundaries,

☐ Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

- Invade the area or unreasonably diminish the river outside Wild and Scenic River Boundaries, or
- Have an adverse effect on the natural, cultural, and/or recreational values of a NRI segment.

Consultation with the appropriate federal/state/local/tribal Managing Agency(s) is required, pursuant to Section 7 of the Act, to determine if the proposed project may have an adverse effect on a Wild & Scenic River or a Study River and, if so, to determine the appropriate avoidance or mitigation measures.

<u>Note</u>: Concurrence may be assumed if the Managing Agency does not respond within 30 days; however, you are still obligated to avoid or mitigate adverse effects on the rivers identified in the NWSRS

\square No, the	e Managing Agency has co	oncurred that the p	roposed project	will not alter,	directly, o	r indirectly
any of	the characteristics that of	qualifies or potentia	lly qualifies the	river for inclus	ion in the	NWSRS.

\rightarrow	Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide
	documentation of the consultation (including the Managing Agency's concurrence) and any other documentation used to
	make your determination.

3.	 Yes, the Managing Agency was consulted and the proposed project may alter, directly, or indirectly any of the characteristics that qualifies or potentially qualifies the river for inclusion in the NWSRS. → Continue to Question 3. For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated Explain in detail the proposed measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.
	→ Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide documentation of the consultation (including the Managing Agency's concurrence) and any other documentation used to make your determination.
Com Provi	ksheet Summary pliance Determination ide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such
as:	Map panel numbers and dates Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers Any additional requirements specific to your region
Are	formal compliance steps or mitigation required? □ Yes □ No

Explosive and Flammable Hazards (CEST and EA)

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation		
HUD-assisted projects must meet Acceptable	N/A	24 CFR Part 51 Subpart		
Separation Distance (ASD) requirements to		С		
protect them from explosive and flammable				
hazards.				
Reference				
https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/explosive-and-flammable-facilities				

1.	Does the proposed HUD-assisted project include a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries)?
	□ No
	→ Continue to Question 2.
	□ Yes
	Explain:
	Continue to Question 5
	→ Continue to Question 5.
2.	Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?
	□ No
	→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.
	□Yes
	→ Continue to Question 3.
3.	Within 1 mile of the project site, are there any current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers:
	 Of more than 100 gallon capacity, containing common liquid industrial fuels OR
	• Of any capacity, containing hazardous liquids or gases that are not common liquid industrial fuels?
	□ No
	→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below Provide all documents used to make your determination.
	□ Yes
	→ Continue to Question 4.

4.	Is the Separation Distance from the project acceptable based on standards in the Regulation? Please visit HUD's website for information on calculating Acceptable Separation Distance.
	□ Yes
	→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below Provide map(s) showing the location of the project site relative to any tanks and your separation distance calculations. If the map identifies more than one tank, please identify the tank you have chosen as the "assessed tank."
	□ No
	→ Provide map(s) showing the location of the project site relative to any tanks and your separation distance calculations. If the map identifies more than one tank, please identify the tank you have chosen as the "assessed tank."
	Continue to Question 6.
5.	Is the hazardous facility located at an acceptable separation distance from residences and any othe facility or area where people may congregate or be present?
	Please visit HUD's website for information on calculating Acceptable Separation Distance.
	□ Yes
	→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below Provide map(s) showing the location of the project site relative to residences and any other facility or area when people congregate or are present and your separation distance calculations.
	□ No
	\rightarrow Provide map(s) showing the location of the project site relative to residences and any other facility or area when
	people congregate or are present and your separation distance calculations. Continue to Question 6.
6.	For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to make the Separation Distance acceptable, including the timeline for implementation. If negative effects cannot be mitigated, cance the project at this location.
	Note that only licensed professional engineers should design and implement blast barriers. If a barrier will be used or the project will be modified to compensate for an unacceptable separation distance, provide approval from a license professional engineer.

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

Are formal compliance s	steps or	mitigation	required?
□Yes			

□ No

Environmental Justice (CEST and EA)

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation				
Determine if the project creates	Executive Order 12898					
adverse environmental impacts						
upon a low-income or minority						
community. If it does, engage the						
community in meaningful						
participation about mitigating the						
impacts or move the project.						
References						
https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/environmental-justice						
HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities						
including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.						

	ID strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities luding Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.
1.	Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review? ☐Yes → Continue to Question 2.
	\square No \rightarrow Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.
2.	Were these adverse environmental impacts disproportionately high for low-income and/or minority communities? □Yes
	Explain:
	→ Continue to Question 3. Provide any supporting documentation.
	□No
	Explain:

→ Continue to the Worksheet Summary and provide any supporting documentation.

	All adverse impacts should be mitigated. Explain in detail the proposed measures that must b implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.
	☐Mitigation as follows will be implemented:
	→ Continue to Question 4.
	□No mitigation is necessary.
	Explain why mitigation will not be made here:
	→ Continue to Question 4.
4.	Describe how the affected low-income or minority community was engaged or meaningfully involved in
	the decision on what mitigation actions, if any, will be taken.

→ Continue to the Worksheet Summary and provide any supporting documentation.

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

Are f	ormal	compliance	steps o	r mitigation	required?

☐ Yes

☐ No